













OUTNA!

Aligning Mission and Investments
Fully Leveraging Philanthropic Capital
for Environmental Change

Mobilizing Assets, Multiplying Impact:

Fully Leveraging Philanthropic Capital for Environmental Change

BY JOSHUA HUMPHREYS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY AND THE CENTER FOR SOCIAL PHILANTHROPY

The foundation community is currently undergoing a sea change in the way it uses philanthropic capital to advance charitable goals. Consider:

- Recent research from FSG Social Impact advisors, a philanthropy consulting firm, identified some \$2.3 billion in what it described as "proactive" or "strategic" mission investments made by more than 90 foundations surveyed in 2007;
- \$ According to the Chronicle of Philanthropy, more than one-quarter of the top 50 largest foundations incorporate at least one social or environmental factor into endowment management;
- \$ The Social Investment Forum has documented more than \$57 billion in foundation endowment assets that were screened according to at least one social or environmental issue;
- \$ The Forum also identified nearly 20 foundations that filed or co-filed a share-holder resolution on a social or environmental issue during 2005-2007; collectively, they control \$1.5 billion in investment assets.

Increasingly, foundation officers, trustees, and program officers are reexamining the assets at their disposal and considering how to deploy them as deliberately as they can. In addition to making grants for environmental programs, many foundations have mobilized financing through program-related investments (PRIs; see definition, page 7), and many members of the Environmental Grantmakers Association are already leading the way in developing and executing creative, more fully leveraged philanthropic strategies, from investing in "double-bottom-line" enterprises and intermediaries that produce both financial and environmental returns to actively voting proxies in companies they own, filing shareholder resolutions, and joining with other shareholders in investor coalitions and networks of mutual concern.

Although financial markets have recently suffered from the turmoil of the sub-prime lending crisis and record-high oil prices, capital markets have also seen an unprecedented wave of innovation in environmental and social investing over the last several years. New investment products, services, and vehicles are seeking to capture opportunities presented by environmental issues while mitigating the financial risks posed by environmental liabilities. Investment managers and consultants are developing the capacity to incorporate environmental, social and corporate-governance (ESG) factors into investment analysis because they recognize them as long-term fiduciary issues.

Foundations with environmental programs thus find themselves poised to take unprecedented advantage of this emerging landscape of experimentation and innovation within both philanthropy and finance. By more actively mobilizing their assets in these ways, foundations can use untapped endowment resources to take on specific environmental issues that reinforce or extend the reach of their grantmaking activities. And far from compromising financial responsibility, institutional investors are finding, mission-aligned environmental

investing strategies can help strengthen the long-term stewardship of assets.

Finding More for Mission

Quantifying the leverage that EGA members currently wield to produce environmental impact remains a challenge. EGA's own efforts to "track the field" of environmental grantmaking has identified approximately \$200 billion in EGA-member endowments, which constitutes around one-third of the more than \$615 billion in assets held in all US-based foundation endowments. EGA members pay out nearly \$697 million in grants for environmental programs each year, a rate that many worry simply pales beside today's environmental challenges. (For comparison, in the wider US philanthropic community, around \$39 billion was paid out in grants in 2006, the most recent year documented by the Foundation Center.)

Last year at the Council of Foundations meeting in Seattle, several foundations involved in missionrelated investing issued an unprecedented challenge to the foundation community to dedicate 2 percent of endowment assets to investments that produce social outcomes in support of philanthropic missions. Lead by the Annie E. Casey Foundation, the F. B. Heron Foundation, and the Meyer Memorial Trust, the "Two Percent for Mission" challenge seeks to mobilize more than \$10 billion of philanthropic capital into mission investments over the next five years. Several environmental grantmakers including the Gaylord & Dorothy Donnelley Foundation, Needmor Fund, Russell Family Foundation, and Tides Foundation have joined the initiative, along with an expanding group of more than a dozen other foundations with more than \$18 billion in combined investment assets. If EGA members were to join the campaign and commit at least 2 percent of endowment assets to mission, \$4 billion in capital could be rapidly channeled into this growing area.

Exploring Opportunities, Reinventing Portfolios

Whatever percentage grantmakers choose to devote, they can take advantage of the recent growth of environmental investing opportunities in the capital markets. Last year, the Social Investment Forum documented \$45 billion in total net assets managed with explicit environmental criteria in 146 different investment vehicles spanning a variety of investment companies and asset classes, from mutual funds to exchange-traded funds (ETFs) to alternative investment funds. By 2007 environmentally oriented ETFs managed more than \$2 billion in total net assets, tracking indices focused on issues such as climate change, renewable and alternative energy, clean and green technology, and environmental and water resource management.

One of the fastest growing areas in environmental investing is in alternative asset classes such as private equity and venture capital, hedge funds, and responsible real estate funds. The Social Investment Forum identified nearly \$5 billion in capital raised through approximately 40 different US-based alternative investment vehicles incorporating a variety of



The growing demand for wind power offers green investment opportunities.

2 FGA IOURNAI

environmental issues into their portfolios. According to the Sustainable Energy Finance Initiative of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), global investment in sustainable energy broke all previous records in 2007, with \$148.4 billion of new money raised.

According to David Wood, director of the Institute for Responsible Investment (IRI) at Boston College's Center for Corporate Citizenship, the climate crisis has created new environmental investing opportunities. "Investors have become increasingly sensitive to the risks and opportunities that climate change brings to their entire portfolio," he said. "New strategies are evolving, from environmental banking, to green and transit-oriented real estate development, to careful consideration of the carbon implications of infrastructure investments."

other institutional investors, state treasurers, and fiduciaries to convene the most recent UN Investor Summit on Climate Risk, which took place earlier this year, and 14 foundations have become signatories to INCR's Action Plan that emerged from the summit.

Not all INCR signatories necessarily leverage their assets according to the same blueprint. Some are actively committing portions of their endowment assets to the growing number of climate-related investment vehicles; others are voting their proxies actively on climate issues or engaging directly with companies they own. A small number of foundations, such as the Needmor Fund and the Nathan Cummings Foundation, have filed or co-filed shareholder resolutions on issues of concern. Indeed, the Needmor Fund, an Ohio-based family foundation of heirs to the founders of The

Champion Spark Plug Company, addresses climate matters as part of a much more comprehensive mission-related investing policy, which incorporates a broad array of social and environmental screening criteria, avoiding poor environmental performers, divesting from nuclear power producers, and seeking investment opportunities in companies with positive environmental attributes.



Global investments in sustainable energy, such as solar power (above) broke all previous records in 2007.

Tackling Climate Change

One of the most ambitious recent efforts to leverage investment assets to address the risks and opportunities of climate change is the Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR), a project of the coalition Ceres. INCR was launched in 2003 at the first UN Investor Summit on Climate Risk with an action plan to promote enhanced disclosure and improved corporate governance practices. Initially a coalition of 10 institutional investors with \$600 billion in total assets under management, it has since grown to a \$5 trillion network of more than 60 investors, including six environmental grantmaking foundations. Nineteen foundations joined

The Impact of Active Ownership

The Nathan Cummings Foundation, a family foundation created by the founder of the Sara Lee Corporation with more than \$560 billion in assets, established shareholder policies on proxy voting and resolution filing in 2002. As Lance E. Lindblom, President and CEO of the foundation, explained, "The foundation is an active shareholder, filing shareholder proposals on issues with implications for both societal well-being and long-term corporate profits. We don't view this approach as 'green' investing, but rather responsible investing." He added, "Our philosophy is that implementing policies to enhance

Shareholder resolutions have led to measurable changes in corporate disclosure policies and practices.

energy efficiency, reduce greenhouse-gas emissions, or simply improve the way environmental issues are handled is good for long-term shareholder value. Rather than divest from a company that appears to be doing a poor job of addressing environmental issues—and leave a premium on the table for bad management—we use our standing as share holders to push for better disclosure and improved environmental, social and governance practices." Since 2003, the foundation has filed or co-filed more than 35 different resolutions on environmental issues, and in several cases obtained measurable changes in corporate disclosure policies or practices at companies such as Smithfield Foods, XTO Energy, and Apache Corporation.

Indeed, the leverage that shareholders can exert highlights the vital role that proxy voting (see box, page 6) plays in the resolution process. Proxy votes are material assets, governed by fiduciary duty, so institutions have a responsibility to make sure their votes are cast prudently in ways that reflect their concerns as asset owners and investors. And proxy votes provide the most immediate way for investors to communicate their concerns about environmental, social, and governance issues to companies, and are one of the simplest ways for foundations to begin aligning mission with investments. Leading foundation shareholder advocates such as the As You Sow Foundation and the Jesse Smith Noves Foundation have worked closely with Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors to develop proxy voting resources designed specifically for foundations (see Resources, page 6).

More Power in Numbers

Finally, joining investor coalitions can give environmental foundations a front row seat on leading trends in responsible investing. For example, in addition to participating in INCR, they can join the Carbon Disclosure Project, a special project of Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors that provides a nonprofit coordinating secretariat for more than 380 institutional investors with a combined \$57 trillion of assets under management to

gain information on the business risks and opportunities presented by climate change and greenhouse gas emissions from 3,000 of the world's largest companies. They can sign on to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI), sponsored by the UN Environment Programme and Global Compact, which provides voluntary and aspirational guidelines on incorporating environmental, social and governance issues into investment management, and gives signatories access to a growing global network of responsible institutional investors, investment managers, and ESG service providers. There is also the Investor Environmental Health Network (IEHN), a relatively new shareholder network for investors concerned about the financial and public health risks associated with toxic chemicals policies. Through direct dialogue and filing shareholder resolutions at corporations around the world, IEHN members encourage companies they own to adopt policies to reduce and eliminate toxic chemicals from their products in order to mitigate risks of litigation and enhance long-term shareholder value. The network is sponsored by an EGA member, The Rose Foundation for Communities and the Environment, as part of its Environmental Fiduciary Project. Many other groups are actively seeking new members.

Taking the Next Steps

For program officers and foundation executives who are interested in exploring the ways that mission-related investing and other more fully leveraged philanthropic strategies can expand the impact of their foundation's environmental work, the biggest barrier to breach is often the internal firewall erected between foundation programs and finances. Program staff will need to develop a greater comfort level with the concepts and tools of investing in order to address the fiduciary concerns of foundation trustees and finance officers. The examples and resources cited in this article and in Resources show the real potential benefits of leveraging foundation assets more fully for mission. And the time to do so is now.

4 EGA JOURNAL